now called for-

Regarding claims 33-60

In olders 32, we note that no account seems to have been taken of the lastmentioned feature of claim 33, hamely that all the said points on the
mentioned feature of claim 33, hamely that all the said points of the
of water. This feature distinguishes from a g var. var. shows a chamber
with ballius, and of course var's baffies are yetted on both sides by the
water been up with the tractional be regarded as a merely obvious variant of
var., in which the walls that define the long/narrow treatment trough are
wetted only on the inside.

id. Furthermore, we draw attention to the above remarks about rejecting claims that contain dimensional limitations. Of the claims 33-60 that 10.00 the claims 30-60 that 10.00 th

All the points in the O/A having been addressed, we look forward now to receiving a Notice of Allowance.

Submitted by:

Anthony Augusth Regn \$2373 Agent for the Applicant

US. Pat.Ap.Nr. 10/077,846

Docket 268-5708

AMENDMENTS TO SPECIFICATION as submitted in response to O/A dated 16 July 2003

[0010/1 (currently amended)] By way of further explanation of the first aspect of the invention, exemplary embodiments of the invention will now be described with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

will now be described with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Fig 1 is a diagrammatic cross-sectioned side view of a system for treating westewator that embedies the invention.

Fig 3 companies of the system of Fig 1.

Fig 4 is a plan view of the treatment system of Fig 1.

Fig 5 is a diagrammatic cross-sectioned view of a pipe containing a body of treatment material as, but shows a different condition.

Fig 6 is a correspond to Fig 5 a, but shows a different treatment material.

Fig 7 is an end view of another system for treating wastewater that a show a different treatment material.

Fig 6 is an end view of another system for treating wastewater that a show a different treatment material.

Fig 7 is an end view of another system for treating wastewater that invention and view of another system of the system of Fig 7.

Fig 9 is an end view, like Fig 7 of another system invention that embodies the invention.

invention.

11g 9a is a cross-usectioned side-view of the system of Fig 9.

11g 10 is a pictorial view of another system that embodies the invention.

11g 16 is a pictorial view of another system that embodies the invention.

[0004a (New)] On the other hand, sometimes, it may be desired to spread the treatment system out over a horizontally large area, and at the same time to provide the desired freedom from channeling. Fig 16 illustrates a treatment system in which the water-conduit is divided into soveral sub-troughs. The sub-troughs 100 are corrected in the valleys of a sub-troughs 100 are corrected in material, of the valleys of a valleys contain respective boddes of treatment material 184. The ends of the valleys comprise entry and exit ports, which communicate with a common entry chamber 185 and a common exit chamber 186.

2

[0149/1 (currently amended)] It should be noted that the treatment apparatus as described herein, regarding the second aspect of the invention, is intended only for promoting the anaerobic digestion reactions. After having passed through the anaerobic treatment stage, in the treatment-pipe, the water has to be aerated, to promote the needed aerobic treatment reactions. The aerobic treatment station is not included in the second aspect of the invention, and the treatment-pipe, as described in the second aspect of the invention, [herein] cannot, by definition, be a part of the aerobic treatment station.

If the desired average residence time [0156/1 (currently amended)] for water passing through the system were one day, the minimum standing volume should be equal to one day's dosage. But the average residence time should not be set as low as one day, since that would leave too small a margin for variations. Rather, the designer should provide that the minimum-standing-body of water has a volume of preferably oneand-a-half times, and a minimum of one-and-a-quarter times, the average daily dose rate. Thus, where the dose rate is 3,000 litres per day, the volume of the minimum-standing-body preferably should be 4,500 litres. As mentioned, keeping the width and depth of the minimumstanding-body below one quarter of the standing-body-length, over at least half of the standing-body-length, [ensures] enables freedom from unwanted pathways. A [where the] treatment-pipe [is] less than one metre diameter[7] is suitable for installations dealing with up to about 15,000 litres per day, in that differences in residence time can be accommodated by using different lengths of treatment pipe.